

IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention Breast cancer screening, Volume 15 (2016)

Type of evaluation	Agent ^a	Evidence
Mammography	1	
Efficacy	Efficacy of screening women aged 50–69 years by mammography in reducing breast cancer mortality	Sufficient
	Efficacy of screening women aged 40–49 years by mammography in reducing breast cancer mortality	Inadequate
	Efficacy of screening women over 69 years by mammography in reducing breast cancer mortality	Inadequate
	Most appropriate mammography screening interval for reducing breast cancer mortality	Inadequate
Effectiveness	Effectiveness of inviting women aged 50–69 years to service mammography screening in reducing breast cancer mortality	Sufficient
	Effectiveness of attendance to service mammography screening in women aged 50–69 years in reducing breast cancer mortality	Sufficient
	Effectiveness of service mammography screening for women aged 40-44 years in reducing breast cancer mortality	Limited
	Effectiveness of service mammography screening for women aged 45-49 years in reducing breast cancer mortality	Limited
	Effectiveness of service mammography screening for women aged 70-74 years in reducing breast cancer mortality	Sufficient
Adverse effects	Occurrence of overdiagnosis of breast cancers during organized mammography screening	Sufficient
	Risk of radiation-induced cancer from mammography in women aged 50 years and older is substantially outweighed by the breast cancer mortality reduction from mammography screening	Sufficient
	A false-positive mammogram has short-term negative psychological consequences	Sufficient
Overall effectiveness	There is a net benefit from inviting women aged 50-69 years to organized mammography screening	Sufficient
Cost-effectiveness	Mammography screening for women aged 50-69 years can be cost-effective in countries with high breast cancer incidence	Sufficient
	Breast cancer screening can be cost-effective in low- and middle- income countries	Limited
Ultrasound as an a mammography	djunct to mammography screening in women with dense breasts	and negative
Effectiveness	Reduction in breast cancer mortality	Inadequate
	Increase in the detection rate of cancers	Limited

IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention Breast cancer screening, Volume 15 (2015)

Type of evaluation	Agent ^a	Evidence
	Reduction in the rate of interval cancers	Inadequate
Adverse effects	Increase in false-positive screening outcomes	Sufficient
Mammography wi	th tomosynthesis (2D/3D-mammography) compared to mammogr	aphy alone
Effectiveness	Reduction in breast cancer mortality	Inadequate
	Increase in the detection rate of cancers	Sufficient
	The incremental detection is mostly of invasive cancers	Limited
	Decrease in false-positive screening outcomes	Limited
	Reduction in the rate of interval cancers	Inadequate
Adverse effects	Increase in the radiation dose ^b	Sufficient
Screening of high	-risk women	
Effectiveness	In women with a BRCA1/2 mutation, screening with MRI will reduce breast cancer mortality as compared to women without BRCA1/2.	Inadequate
ensitivity and pecificity	In women with a high familial risk and a <i>BRCA1/2</i> mutation, MRI as an adjunct to mammography screening increases the sensitivity and decrease the specificity of the screening.	Sufficient
	In women with a high familial risk and without a known <i>BRCA1/2</i> mutation, MRI as an adjunct to mammography screening increases the sensitivity and decrease the specificity of the screening.	Limited
	In women with a high familial risk, the sensitivity of ultrasound alone is similar to or lower than that obtained with mammography alone, and lower than that obtained with MRI alone.	Sufficient
	In women with a personal history of breast cancer, the sensitivity and specificity of mammography are lower than those in women without such history.	Limited
	In women with LCIS or atypical proliferations, the sensitivity of mammography is equal to and the specificity lower than that in women without such history.	Limited
Incremental detection rate	In women with a high familial risk screened with MRI and mammography, clinical breast examination increases the detection rate of breast cancer.	Inadequate
	In women with a personal history of breast cancer, ultrasound as an adjunct to mammography increases the detection rate of breast cancer	Inadequate
	In women with LCIS or atypical proliferations, MRI as an adjunct to mammography increases the detection rate of breast cancer	Inadequate
Increase in false- positive screening outcomes	In women with a personal history of breast cancer, ultrasound as an adjunct to mammography increases false-positive screening outcomes compared with those without such history.	Inadequate

IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention Breast cancer screening, Volume 15 (2015)

Type of evaluation	Agent ^a	Evidence
	In women with a personal history of breast cancer, MRI as an adjunct to mammography plus ultrasound increases false-positive screening outcomes compared with those without such history.	Inadequate
	In women with LCIS or atypical proliferations, MRI as an adjunct to mammography increases false-positive screening outcomes compared with mammography.	Limited
Clinical breast ex	camination	
	Reduction in breast cancer mortality	Inadequate
	Shift in the stage distribution of tumours detected towards lower stage	Sufficient
Breast self-exam	ination	
	Reduction in breast cancer mortality by teaching BSE	Inadequate
	Reduction in the rate of interval cancers by teaching BSE	Inadequate
	Reduction in breast cancer mortality in women who practice BSE competently and regularly	Inadequate

LCIS: Lobular Carcinoma In Situ; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

^a The full wording of the evaluations as agreed by the Working Group will be available in the full report.

^b Reconstructing the 2D images from the tomosynthesis acquisition substantially reduces the radiation dose compared to that of dual acquisition of mammography and tomosynthesis.